

Effect of a nutrition intervention during early childhood on economic productivity in Guatemalan adults



John Hoddinott, John A Maluccio, Jere R Behrman, Rafael Flores, Reynaldo Martorell

Summary

Background Substantial, but indirect, evidence suggests that improving nutrition in early childhood in developing countries is a long-term economic investment. We investigated the direct effect of a nutrition intervention in early childhood on adult economic productivity.

Methods We obtained economic data from 1424 Guatemalan individuals (aged 25–42 years) between 2002 and 2004. They accounted for 60% of the 2392 children (aged 0–7 years) who had been enrolled in a nutrition intervention study during 1969–77. In this initial study, two villages were randomly assigned a nutritious supplement (atole) for all children and two villages a less nutritious one (fresco). We estimated annual income, hours worked, and average hourly wages from all economic activities. We used linear regression models, adjusting for potentially confounding factors, to assess the relation between economic variables and exposure to atole or fresco at specific ages between birth and 7 years.

Findings Exposure to atole before, but not after, age 3 years was associated with higher hourly wages, but only for men. For exposure to atole from 0 to 2 years, the increase was US\$0·67 per hour (95% CI 0·16–1·17), which meant a 46% increase in average wages. There was a non-significant tendency for hours worked to be reduced and for annual incomes to be greater for those exposed to atole from 0 to 2 years.

Interpretation Improving nutrition in early childhood led to substantial increases in wage rates for men, which suggests that investments in early childhood nutrition can be long-term drivers of economic growth.

Introduction

The World Bank's 2006 report Repositioning Nutrition as Central to Development: a Strategy for Large Scale Action argued for urgent and effective national programmes to prevent child malnutrition by targeting pregnancy and the first 2 years of life. This period of a child's life is thought to be the time when nutrition has the greatest effect on child health, growth, and development.¹ One argument for increasing investment in such programmes is that they drive long-term economic growth by leading to healthier and more productive adults. Several reports support this "productivity" hypothesis. In a review of five cohort studies, including the Guatemalan one in this report, Victora and colleagues² concluded there was evidence to link small size at birth and childhood stunting with short adult stature, reduced lean body mass, less schooling, diminished intellectual functioning, and reduced earnings.

About 200 million children in developing countries do not reach their developmental potential and are likely to do poorly in school;³ documented risk factors for loss of potential include stunting, iodine deficiency, iron-deficiency anaemia, and inadequate cognitive stimulation.⁴ Measures of human capital, such as adult stature and schooling, have been shown to be positively related to income and wealth.^{5,6} Results from Guatemala show that improved nutrition in early childhood leads to better adult human capital including larger body size,⁷ improved physical work capacity,⁸ more schooling, and better cognitive skills.^{9,10}

We are unaware of any study that has assessed the direct effects of nutrition interventions in early childhood on incomes in adulthood. Thus, we aimed to address this gap by analysis of data from Guatemala to estimate the effect of exposure to nutritional supplements in early childhood on incomes more than 25 years later.

Methods

Study participants and procedures

Between 1969 and 1977, the Institute of Nutrition of Central America and Panama (INCAP) undertook a study of the effect of improved protein intakes on physical and mental development of children from four villages of mixed Spanish-Amerindian ethnic origin in Guatemala.¹¹ 300 rural communities of appropriate size were screened to identify villages of appropriate compactness, ethnicity and language, diet, access to health-care facilities, demographic characteristics, nutritional status, and degree of physical isolation. From these, four villages were selected for the study.

Two villages, one from each pair matched on population size, were randomly assigned in March 1969 to receive a nutritious supplement called atole. Atole is a gruel-like drink made from Incaparina (a vegetable protein mixture), dry skimmed milk, and sugar that provided 6·4 g protein and 380 kJ (91 kcal) energy per 100 mL. In the other two villages, residents were given fresco, a drink that contains no protein, and 138 kJ (33 kcal) per 100 mL from sugar. From October, 1971, both supplements were fortified with micronutrients in equal concentrations

Lancet 2008; 371: 411–16

See [Comment](#) page 365

International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, DC, USA (J Hoddinott DPhil); Department of Economics, Middlebury College, Middlebury, VT, USA (J A Maluccio PhD); Department of Economics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA (J R Behrman PhD); and Hubert Department of Global Health, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, USA (R Flores DrPH, R Martorell PhD)

Correspondence to:

Dr Reynaldo Martorell, Hubert Department of Global Health, Rollins School of Public Health, 1518 Clifton Road NE, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA
rmart77@sph.emory.edu

by volume, sharpening the contrast in protein content. The supplements were available to all villagers twice daily throughout the study at a central location in each village. INCAP established and maintained medical services for each village.

In children younger than 7 years, participation (defined as any attendance during specified age intervals) was between 65% and 85% and varied little by village or age.¹² However, daily attendance for specified age intervals and the daily average volume of supplement consumed were higher in villages assigned to atole than in those assigned to fresco, for children younger than 3 years.¹² Protein, energy, and micronutrient intake from the supplements were also higher in atole villages.¹³

Home dietary intakes were measured at specified ages so that we could estimate the net dietary impact of the nutrition intervention via comparisons between atole and fresco villages in total nutrient intakes—ie, contributions from home diet and supplement consumption. Between the ages of 15 months and 36 months, home dietary intakes were estimated from eight 24 h surveys; children who were offered atole had a net increase of 8.7 g protein and 393 kJ (94 kcal) per day (representing 29% and 10% of total average intake, respectively) compared with children who were offered fresco.¹³ The atole supplement improved child growth rates (for both sexes equally) only during the first 3 years of life.¹⁴

The effect of atole on growth to 3 years of age was assessed by use of the village as the unit of analysis.^{13,15} Body length was similar in both groups in 1968, before the supplementation programme began. For children exposed to supplementation until 3 years of age, the mean increase in length over baseline was 2.9 cm in atole villages and 0.5 cm in fresco villages with a net change or double difference of 2.4 cm in favour of atole villages ($p<0.005$).¹⁵ About 45% of 3-year-old children in atole and fresco villages were severely stunted (<-3 Z scores of the WHO National Center for Health

Statistics reference) in 1969, the first year of the study. By the end of the study, the prevalence of severe stunting was reduced to less than 20% in atole villages but was unchanged in fresco villages.¹³

We resurveyed these individuals in 2002–04.^{16,17} 2392 individuals had been enrolled in the original study; at follow-up, they would be aged between 25 and 42 years: 1855 (78%) were living in Guatemala; 272 (11%) had died—with most deaths from illnesses or infectious diseases in early childhood; 162 (7%) had migrated internationally; and 101 (4%) could not be traced. Of the 1855 individuals eligible for interview in 2002–04, 1113 (60%) lived in the original villages, 155 (8.4%) lived in nearby villages, 419 (22.6%) lived in or near Guatemala City, and 168 (9%) lived elsewhere in Guatemala.¹⁶ For the 1855 eligible individuals living in Guatemala, 1571 (85%) completed at least one interview and 1424 (77%) completed the interview about economic activities. 284 (15%) did not complete an interview; two-thirds of them were known to be alive and in Guatemala, but current addresses could not be obtained. The refusal rate for at least partial participation among those contacted was low, at 5%.¹⁶

With a conservative definition of attrition or loss-to-follow-up that regards deaths as cases lost-to-follow-up, attrition for the collection of economic data was 40.5% (40.6% in atole villages, 40.3% from fresco villages). If instead, we assume that deaths were traced and thus part of the numerator, an approach followed by some researchers,¹⁸ the attrition rate was 29.0% (28.2% for atole and 29.9% for fresco). These rates are similar to those reported in other cohort studies in developing countries, most of which had shorter follow-up periods.² Data cleaning reduced further the number of respondents included in the analyses, though the similarity of proportions in atole and fresco villages remained.

Double data entry was done with Epi-Info (version 6.0.4). All participants provided informed consent and the study was approved by Emory University's Institutional Review Board. We used a linear regression model to estimate the

	Supplementation exposure during 0–24 months (n=297)		No supplementation exposure during 0–24 months (n=305)	
	Atole villages (n=152) mean (SD)	Fresco villages (n=145) mean (SD)	Atole villages (n=169) mean (SD)	Fresco villages (n=136) mean (SD)
Age of respondent at interview (years)	30.7 (1.71)	30.8 (1.77)	33.2 (5.12)	33.7 (5.40)
Age of mother when respondent was born (years)	27.4 (6.71)	26.6 (7.16)	27.2 (7.21)	27.8 (6.31)
Maternal height (cm)	149.2 (4.9)	149.2 (4.4)	149.1 (4.5)	148.6 (4.6)
Grades of schooling, mother	1.23 (1.53)	1.63 (1.98)	1.34 (1.56)	1.61 (1.98)
Grades of schooling, father	1.34 (2.13)	2.34 (2.19)*	1.15 (1.76)	2.04 (2.25)*
Household wealth index score in 1967	−3.30 (0.51)	−3.45 (0.52)*	−3.35 (0.43)	−3.46 (0.57)
Annual earned income (US\$)	3334 (2886)	3117 (2532)	3468 (3371)	3178 (2803)
Annual hours worked	2305 (858)	2445 (887)	2408 (957)	2338 (897)
Wage rate (income earned per hour worked, US\$)	1.45 (1.14)	1.27 (0.91)	1.55 (1.87)	1.52 (1.67)

*Means were significantly different between atole and fresco subsets (within exposed or non-exposed groups).

Table 1: Characteristics of male participants

impact of exposure to atole on incomes; the analyses also controlled for potentially confounding variables.

Characterisation of supplement exposure and type

The original study enrolled all children under the age of 7 years at study launch (1969) and newborn infants from birth, until the study ended (1977). Children were followed up until they were 7 years old or until the study ended, whichever came first. Supplementation was provided from March 1, 1969, to Feb 28, 1977. Thus, different children were exposed to supplementation (atole or fresco) at different ages and for different periods of time. For example, only children born during or after 1969 and before February, 1974 could have been offered their village's supplementation continuously from birth to 36 months of age.

We characterised exposure to supplementation on the basis of the age of the child and the dates of operation of supplementation. Our primary interest was for exposure during 0–24 months, 0–36 months, and 36–72 months, but we also considered other windows of exposure within the 0–36 month range. The rationale for selecting 0–24 months was consensus that this is the priority target age for nutrition programmes.¹ We focused on children aged 0–36 months because this was the interval during which atole supplementation improved linear growth, and on those aged 36–72 months because atole had no effect on linear growth in this interval.¹⁴

For each child, we created a variable ("exposure to supplementation") that was given a value of either 1 when the respondent was offered any form of supplementation during the age range of interest (eg, 0–24 months), or 0. We also created a variable ("supplement type") that was given a value of 1 if the child lived in one of the two atole villages, or 0 if not. The interaction term between the two variables ("exposure to atole" from 0–24 months) represents the differential effect of exposure to atole compared with fresco at age 0–24 months, after subtraction of the difference between individuals exposed to atole versus fresco at other ages (ie, those coded "0" for "exposure to supplementation" from 0–24 months). The interaction term, therefore, provides an estimate of the double-difference effect of atole compared with fresco for a given exposure period, which we refer to here as the effect of exposure to atole. This same approach was followed in testing all other windows of exposure.

Characterisation of outcomes: income, hours worked, and wage rates

We obtained information about all income-generating activities through a questionnaire that included the following topics: wage labour activities (type of work; hours, days, and months worked; and wages and fringe benefits received); agricultural activities (amount of land cultivated; crops grown; production levels and value; use of inputs; and hours, days, and months worked); and non-agricultural own-business activities (type of activity;

value of goods or services provided; capital stock held; and hours, days, and months worked).¹⁹ How these are used to calculate annual earned income, hours worked in the last year, and their quotient, the average wage rate (ie, income earned per hour worked) has been described elsewhere.¹⁹ All monetary outcomes analysed were in US\$; to convert to US\$ we used the exchange rate prevailing during the survey period—7·9 Quetzales per US\$1—and adjusted the resulting sums to constant 2004 US\$. Virtually all (99%) men interviewed participated in at least one income-generating activity, with 80% working for a wage, 43% working on their own farms, and 28% operating their own businesses. A smaller proportion (70%) of women interviewed participated in at least one income-generating activity, with 33% working for wages, 21% working on their own farms, and 34% operating their own businesses.

We excluded from the analyses respondents (12 men and 238 women) who were not engaged in economic activities (ie, not participating in the labour market) since an hourly wage rate could not be calculated. We also excluded 41 men and 26 women who reported an extreme number of hours worked (ie, more than 12 h per day for all 365 days of the year) because of the concern that these implausibly high values would bias estimates of wage rates towards a lower value. The final samples analysed had 602 men and 505 women. For men, this sample represents 48·9% of the 1230 original male participants enrolled in the study (49·2% and 48·7% in atole and fresco villages, respectively). For women, the corresponding proportion is 43·2% (41·6% and 45·6% in atole and fresco villages, respectively).

Potentially confounding variables

To improve the validity, as well as the precision, of our estimates,²⁰ we controlled for individual, family, and community characteristics. The villages also underwent substantial socioeconomic changes during the 25 years that elapsed between the original intervention and the survey in 2002. For this reason, we also controlled for variables that capture changes and events in the community that might have affected income-generating activities and income-earning potential. The individual characteristics included sex and age, and family characteristics included the logarithm of the mother's age when the child was born, mother's and father's completed grades of schooling, the logarithm of mother's height, and an index of household wealth just before the intervention, all from the original 1969–77 study.

Village fixed effects were represented by dummy variables for three of the four villages, capturing all fixed characteristics of these localities that might affect wages and incomes. Using census and village histories, we documented key demographic, social, and economic changes.²¹ From these community developmental histories, we constructed and then incorporated several "historical" variables into our analyses to control for community change, relating them to each individual's

age. Proxy measures for schooling availability and quality included were a binary indicator of the availability of a permanent, cement-block structure for the primary school and student-teacher ratios in primary schools, in both cases when the individual was 7 years old. Several variables were created with age 18 years as the reference point, when most youths were entering the labour market. These included binary indicators for whether electricity was available, which could affect returns to labour in the village; the occurrence of events that might have reduced incomes such as earthquakes or other natural disasters sufficiently large to result in food aid being supplied to the village; and whether the village had increased demand for specific agricultural products (vegetables and yuca, a starchy tuber). Also included were the producer price for maize, the main agricultural commodity in the region, and the level of wages in the construction sector, at age 18 years, to assess the demand for labour.

Statistical analyses

Because all three outcome variables are continuous, we used a linear regression model to assess the associations between the variables and exposure to the atole supplement, controlling for potentially confounding variables. Separate models were created for men and women. Since there were siblings in the sample, standard errors were calculated with allowance for clustering at the mother level.

We also explored whether the effect of the nutrition intervention varied by important family characteristics, such as parents' education or household wealth in 1967, just before the supplementation programme started.

The study design meant that the four villages, and not the individuals within them, were randomised to have the option of receiving the atole or fresco supplementation. The small number of villages randomised did not provide enough statistical power to estimate the effect of exposure to atole at the village level. Thus our models use individuals and not villages as the unit of analysis, since the duration and timing of exposure to the intervention for particular children depended on village of residence and year of birth. Further, our analyses controlled for potentially confounding factors.

We include a webappendix with additional analyses. Since the analyses are based on only about half of the original sample, we implemented the correction procedure for attrition outlined by Fitzgerald and colleagues.^{22,23} Also, we undertook several robustness checks, which included model specifications that are robust to outliers in the data (taking natural logarithms of the dependent variables and estimating median least absolute deviation regressions) and alternative ways of calculating the regression standard errors (the Huber-White method; clustering based on the village-birth-year cohorts; and block bootstrapping).

Data were analysed with Stata (version 9.2).

Role of the funding source

The US National Institutes of Health and National Science Foundation, the sponsors of the study, had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Results

In table 1, we show selected individual and family characteristics and measures of income-generating capacity for men according to the exposure of nutritional supplementation at 0–24 months of age. Parents from fresco-supplemented villages had more grades of schooling than those from atole villages; some of these differences were significant, underscoring the importance of controlling for such factors. The mean annual earned income and wage rates of men exposed to either supplement during 0–24 months were \$3334 and \$1.45, respectively in atole villages, compared with \$3117 and \$1.27, respectively, for the fresco villages, but these differences were not significant. Annual hours worked for those exposed to supplementation from 0–24 months were lower in atole villages than in fresco villages, but these differences also were not significant. Those not exposed to any supplementation at 0–24 months of age had higher incomes and wage rates than those exposed to supplementation at 0–24 months, perhaps because a large proportion of them were older and thus had higher earnings from longer work experience. We show results for effects of exposure to atole in women in the appendix, since they were non-significant.

Estimates from the linear regression models for men are shown in table 2 for three age intervals, 0–24 months, 0–36 months, and 36–72 months. The coefficients are the effect of atole supplementation (compared with fresco) on the income measure, after subtracting atole/fresco differences for those not exposed during this time, and controlling for individual, parental, and community characteristics.

Although point estimates suggested that atole supplementation during the first 2–3 years of life substantially increased total annual income by \$600–900, none of the estimates was significant (table 2). There was also no consistent evidence of an effect of atole supplementation on hours worked, though there was a tendency for the coefficient sign to be negative (ie, for the atole group to work fewer hours than the fresco group). The only significant effect on hours worked was for the age range 0–36 months (–421 h/year or –8 h/week; 95% CI –766 to –76). Exposure to atole before 3 years of age significantly raised wage rates by US\$0.62–0.67 per h. Exposure to atole during 36–72 months, however, was not significantly related to any of the three economic outcomes.

The webappendix contains additional results. We identified no evidence that effects of exposure to atole

See Online for webappendix

were linked to parental or family characteristics. Adjustment for attrition did not appreciably change the coefficients or the 95% CIs in table 2. Robustness checks that assessed the influence of outliers in the data and that estimated regression standard errors in several ways also produced results similar to those in table 2.

Discussion

In our study, exposure to the atole supplement during 0–36 months of age, but not during 36–72 months, significantly increased hourly wage rates in men, though not in women. For atole supplementation during 0–24 months, the corresponding increase in the hourly wage rate was US\$0·67 per h, representing an increase of 46% over average wages in the sample. However, atole supplementation in early childhood did not consistently affect the number of hours men worked, although these men tended to work fewer hours. Increases in annual income for men given atole supplementation before 3 years of age could have been substantial, but were much less precisely estimated (and were not significant) than those for wage rates.

Interventions such as food supplementation could have differential effects on different portions of the population, depending on participation by specific groups as well as on the potential to benefit these groups. For example, participation might be more beneficial for households with few resources. Alternatively, those with large resources, or those with better educated parents, might appreciate more fully the potential benefits or be better able to take advantage of them. However, we did not identify any association between the effects of the supplements on income measures and parental education or socioeconomic indicators, which suggests that family background neither complemented the effect of the atole supplement nor acted as a substitute for it.

Our study had several limitations. One is that we were unable to distinguish different effects of different exposure windows within the first 36 months of life because of overlap in the study participants across the exposure windows.

A second limitation was the substantial degree of attrition. Owing to attrition or loss to follow-up (including deaths, mostly in the neonatal period and during infancy) and losses through data cleaning, our economic analyses for men were based on data from 49% of those originally enrolled in the study, with similar rates of attrition across atole and fresco villages. The linear regressions used to estimate influence of improved nutrition control for a large number of covariates, many of which, in addition to potentially affecting income, were also associated with attrition.¹⁶ Therefore, these results already control in part for possible selectivity effects of attrition on the sample, because they control for many of the factors underlying attrition.²³ In addition, as reported in the appendix, we implemented Fitzgerald and colleagues' weighting method for addressing possible attrition bias. Our main

	Difference in annual earned income in US\$ (95% CI)	p	Difference in annual hours worked (95% CI)	p	Difference in wage rate, US\$/h (95% CI)	p
0–24 months	870 (–216 to 1955)	0·116	–222 (–572 to 128)	0·214	0·665 (0·16 to 1·17)	0·009
0–36 months	578 (–458 to 1613)	0·273	–421 (–766 to –76)	0·017	0·622 (0·17 to 1·07)	0·007
36–72 months	329 (–836 to 1494)	0·579	–203 (–583 to 178)	0·295	0·215 (–0·29 to 0·72)	0·406

Table 2: Difference between effect of atole compared with fresco supplementation during different age ranges on income measures

results changed little when we did so. We interpret these findings to mean that, as found in other contexts with high attrition,^{23,24} our results do not seem to have been biased by attrition.

A third limitation is that we could not control for all relevant village characteristics correlated with atole supplementation even though randomisation was at the village level. Our estimates do, however, include: a covariate for supplementation (for which we varied the exposure window across models), which captured all unmeasured events common to individuals who were similarly exposed to the supplementation programme; village-level fixed effects, which controlled for all unmeasured events that did not change over time and that were common to individuals within the same village; time-varying village characteristics measured at crucial ages for individual development (7 years and 18 years of age); and covariates for age to control for cohort effects, which captured unmeasured events common to all individuals of a given age. Thus, although there could have been other time-varying village characteristics that were correlated with atole supplementation for which we did not control, we perceive that the probability of significant bias is small.

Strengths of our study were that the original study included a nutrition intervention that was proven to have improved nutrient intakes and physical growth in children younger than 3 years, follow-up that was longer than 25 years, the high quality of the data on income, and the use of appropriate and robust statistical methods. Our analytical design used data from all birth cohorts (as opposed to only comparing participants exposed to atole and fresco during 0–24 months, for example) and it also incorporated several potentially confounding factors, many reflecting community development changes after the original intervention, to increase the validity and precision of the estimates.

The results we show are consistent with previously published findings from our follow-up study; atole supplementation during 0–24 months increased schooling by 1·2 grades for women, and in both sexes it increased reading comprehension scores and performance in the Raven's test of progressive matrices by about 17% and 8%, respectively. Why, then, did we not see effects on income measures in women? Perhaps this is due to differences between the sexes in labour force participation and in work activities. The vast majority of women in the sample

engaged in low-productivity activities such as agricultural processing (indeed, for these reasons it would have been inappropriate to model men and women together) and this could explain the absence of effects.

Future research should investigate the pathways of nutritional supplementation on wage rates through physical strength, perhaps as mediated by height or lean body mass, and cognitive ability. Preliminary explorations suggest that the primary pathway is through cognitive skills, not through physical strength.²⁵

Finally, our findings underscore the importance of further investigations in other settings of the long-term effects of improving early childhood nutrition on income. Although follow-up studies of nutrition interventions will be rare, other types of studies, such as follow-up studies of natural experiments, might be possible. One such study assessed the long-term effects of China's 1959–61 famine on the health and economic status of the survivors.²⁶ Exposure to the famine in early life (in people born between 1959 and 62) was associated with a reduction in height of 3 cm and with lower incomes and wealth. Our results from Guatemala and those from China provide the strongest confirmation available of the assertion that improving nutrition in early childhood is a long-term driver of economic growth.

Contributors

All authors contributed to study design, development of standard operating procedures and analytical protocols, and critical review and approval of this manuscript. JH, JRB, JAM, and RM contributed to the writing of the manuscript. JH, JRB, and JAM designed the survey instruments that collected the income data; JAM oversaw their implementation. JH and JAM undertook the statistical analysis. JRB was responsible for the specification of the representation of the intervention, and RF for coordination of the data management. RM was a researcher in the original longitudinal study, and supervised the 2002–04 follow-up study and its analyses.

Conflict of interest statement

We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants TW-05598 on "Early Nutrition, Human Capital and Economic Productivity" and HD-046125 on "Education and Health Across the Life Course in Guatemala", and NSF/Economics grants SES 0136616 and SES 0211404 on "Collaborative Research: Nutritional Investments in Children, Adult Human Capital and Adult Productivities." We have benefited from our interactions with other members of the Human Capital Study team, Ann DiGirolamo, Ruben Grajeda, Paúl Melgar, Humberto Méndez, Agnes Quisumbing, Usha Ramakrishnan, Luis Fernando Ramírez, Manuel Ramírez-Zea, Aryeh Stein, Kathryn Yount, and Alexis Murphy and Meng Wang, whom we especially thank for their excellent research assistance in the preparation of the data for this paper. We also thank the anonymous reviewers and editorial staff of *The Lancet* for their comments and suggestions.

References

- World Bank. Repositioning nutrition as central to development: a strategy for large-scale action. Washington DC: The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development/The World Bank, 2006.
- Victora CG, Adair L, Fall C, Hallal P, et al, for the Maternal and Child Undernutrition Study Group. Maternal and child undernutrition: consequences for adult health and human capital. *Lancet* 2008; published online Jan 17. DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61692-4.
- Grantham-McGregor S, Bun Cheung Y, Cueto S, et al. Developmental potential in the first 5 years for children in developing countries. *Lancet* 2007; **369**: 60–70.
- Walker SP, Wachs TD, Meeks Gardner J, et al. Child development: risk factors for adverse outcomes in developing countries. *Lancet* 2007; **369**: 145–57.
- Strauss J, Thomas D. Wages, schooling and background: investments in men and women in urban Brazil. In: Birdsall N, Sabot RH, eds. *Opportunity foregone: education in Brazil*. Baltimore, MD: The Johns Hopkins University Press for the Inter-American Development Bank, 1996: 147–91.
- Psachanopoulos G, Patrinos HA. Returns to investment in education: a further update. *Edu Econ* 2004; **12**: 111–134.
- Rivera JA, Martorell R, Ruel MT, Habicht JP, Haas JD. Nutritional supplementation during the preschool years influences body size and composition of Guatemalan adolescents. *J Nutr* 1995; **125**: 1068–77.
- Haas JD, Martinez EJ, Murdoch S, Conlisk E, Rivera JA, Martorell R. Nutritional supplementation during the preschool years and physical work capacity in adolescent and young adult Guatemalans. *J Nutr* 1995; **125**: 1078–89.
- Li H, Barnhart HX, Stein AD, Martorell R. Effects of early childhood supplementation on the educational achievement of women. *Pediatrics* 2003; **112**: 156–62.
- Maluccio JA, Hoddinott J, Behrman JR, Martorell R, Quisumbing AR, Stein AD. The impact of nutrition during early childhood on education among Guatemalan adults. Middlebury College Economics Discussion paper no 06–14. Middlebury, VT, USA: Middlebury College, 2006.
- Martorell R, Habicht J-P, Rivera JA. History and design of the INCAP longitudinal study (1969–77) and its follow up (1988–99). *J Nutr* 1995; **125**: 1027–41.
- Schroeder DG, Kaplowitz H, Martorell R. Patterns and predictors of participation and consumption of supplement in an intervention study in rural Guatemala. *Food Nutr Bull* 1993; **14**: 191–200.
- Martorell R. Overview of long-term nutrition intervention studies in Guatemala, 1968–1989. *Food Nutr Bull* 1993; **14**: 270–77.
- Schroeder DG, Martorell R, Rivera JA, Ruel MT, Habicht J-P. Age differences in the impact of nutritional supplementation on growth. *J Nutr* 1995; **125**: 1051–59.
- Habicht J-P, Martorell R, Rivera JA. Nutritional impact of supplementation in the INCAP longitudinal study: analytic strategies and inferences. *J Nutr* 1995; **125**: 1042–50.
- Grajeda R, Behrman JR, Flores R, Maluccio JA, Martorell R, Stein AD. The human capital study 2000–04: tracking, data collection, coverage, and attrition. *Food Nutr Bull* 2005; **26**: 15–23.
- Martorell R, Behrman JR, Flores R, Stein AD. Rationale for a follow-up study focusing on economic productivity. *Food Nutr Bull* 2005; **26** (suppl 1): 5–14.
- Victora CG, Barros FC. Cohort profile: the 1982 Pelotas (Brazil) birth cohort study. *Int J Epidemiol* 2006; **35**: 237–42.
- Hoddinott J, Behrman JR, Martorell R. Labor force activities and income among young Guatemalan adults. *Food Nutr Bull* 2005; **26** (suppl 1): 98–109.
- Murray DM. Design and analysis of group-randomized trials. New York: Oxford University Press, 1998.
- Maluccio JA, Melgar P, Méndez H, Murphy A. Social and economic development and change in four Guatemalan villages: demographics, schooling, occupation, and assets. *Food Nutr Bull* 2005; **26** (suppl 1): 25–45.
- Fitzgerald J, Gottschalk P, Moffitt R. An analysis of sample attrition in panel data. *J Human Resources* 1998; **33**: 251–99.
- Fitzgerald J, Gottschalk P, Moffitt R. The impact of attrition in the PSID on intergenerational analysis. *J Human Resources* 1998; **33**: 300–44.
- Alderman H, Behrman JR, Kohler HP, Maluccio JA, Watkins SC. Attrition in longitudinal household survey data: some tests for three developing country samples. *Demogr Res* 2001; **5**: 79–124.
- Behrman JR, Hoddinott J, Maluccio JA, Martorell R. Does it pay to become taller? Or is what you know all that really matters? Philadelphia, PA: University of Pennsylvania, 2005.
- Chen Y, Zhou LA. The long-term health and economic consequences of the 1959–1961 famine in China. *J Health Econ* 2007; **26**: 659–81.